

www.inventingconsultantcreator.net
www.worldonlyinventorofsignificancearguably.com
Michael R. Thomas Inventing Consultant
189 Evergreen Road
North Fort Myers, Florida 33903
Telephone (239) 731-9860

To:
U.S. President Barrack Obama
Nevada Senator Harry Reid
Arizona Senator John McCain

Subject: Innovation Whitepaper Sept. 20, 2009

A STRATEGY FOR AMERICAN INNOVATION:
DRIVING TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AND QUALITY JOBS

The president's new whitepaper shows a considerable degree of good intention on the part of Mr. Obama, however, on closer inspection certain areas tend to notably fall apart.

The entire 22 page letter says nothing about inventors or the difficulties that they face in receiving any compensation for their brilliant society improving creations. Incentive to create for these individuals is totally lacking from Mr. Obama's plan. Mr. Obama has a somewhat socialistic view of the concept inventor compensation, considering us to be in the same category as corporate executives. He does not recognize the concept of individual intellectual property ownership as being the inventor's reward for sharing the brilliant creations with the world and for the betterment of the world as evidenced by his support of the Patent Reform Act of 2009. Until we deal with the horrendous injustices that are dealt to inventors by this act, human advancement will remain at a virtual stand still. Until Corporate America changes its attitudes towards inventors, we will continue to have a corporate atmosphere of belligerent retartive ignorance and refusal to deal and only a willingness to steal from independent inventors. What a pity that stupidity could not be made legally and financially painful. However, they'll use this as an excuse to obstruct justice and intimidate inventors. Wasted trillions of dollars could have been saved and hundreds of thousands of lives could have been saved if only this were possible.

Mr. Obama's plan for investing money in research and development will produce nothing tangible because it is based on the same principles that have lead to the gross waste of R&D funds in the past. The basic problem is that the individuals controlling the experiments to be conducted are working with inventions that have been stolen from inventors, abandoned, or have had large amounts of unsuccessful R&D money spent on them already with little or no tangible results. This is the same way that our federal grant system has wasted trillions of dollars. They presently set funding amounts with unspecific progress criteria and expectations. They allocate the entire funds instead of a feasibility amount in order to see if the project can be made viable through the production of new ideas. The concept of paying the inventor for those ideas is totally vacant from their equation thereby destroying the incentive to create. The other problem is that small inventors with good ideas cannot even get started because they won't fund a

business without a two year profit history. The other factor is the unavailability of reasonable interest priced funds to borrow. Venture Capitalist want 50% of the business profits for funding a loan and in many cases cutting profits to the point where there's no room left for an inventor and/or a new business owner. The only way to avoid this is government sponsored selected intellectual property startup loans with an unbiased panel of experts analyzing the viability of the project. The present system is setup on a 1% of proceeds to the inventor and 99% for the investors in a total inventor buyout situation.

At the end of Mr. Obama's paper, is a list of development projects that have little or no potential for successful commercialization or usefulness. Without the proper original ideas, nothing except wasted money can occur. Not having a cutoff at 5% or 10% of the allocated funds, in order to check progress and abandon the project if necessary, means that the entire amount of the grant will be squandered as it has been in the past. Not creating the proper incentives to produce these original ideas will also result in the original 5% to 10% being squandered in the first place. Putting the correct persons in place to administer these programs is critical to their success or failure.

The present reform proposal has conveniently excluded the concept of inequitable conduct which of course essentially green lights fraud and corruption and can't possibly be associated with a legal or ethical patent system. We have noticed recently that Mr. Obama has been congratulating individuals who have stolen intellectual property without acknowledge or consideration of payment to the inventor and we feel that this can only be described as considerably less that integrites conduct. Especially in light of the magnitude of intellectual properties that have been conceived by myself.

Continued support for the Patent Reform Act of 2009 will ensure that all incentive for the production of these ideas will not exist to inventors. Ideas need to lead R&D. Attempting to do it Mr. Obama's way of asking school children and thinking that genuine high quality financially viable invention originates at laboratories, universities, and corporations, is incorrect and innovatively retartive. The only invention occurring there is "sub-invention", observations, and the development of my original ideas without compensation and supervision to reduce R&D cost. Many of these projects suck R&D money for years and decades with no potential for advancement or economical abandonment due to my non-involvement. Innovation and invention is not created by chance, luck, education, or job position. It is created through genetic brain power and the financial incentive to create.

Our grossly lopsided judicial system denies inventors their civil rights and any incentive to produce intellectual materials by the use of some 40 different methods of cheating them of their intellectual properties. Inventors are also being denied justice through the lack of monetary abilities to compete with large corporations in the court systems. Mr. Obama seems to be complacent, supportive, and tolerant, of these injustices and civil rights violations.

New Additions - Monday, February 08, 2010

President Obama, with regards to the second stimulus bill and plans for high speed rail, we need to concentrate on creating permanent new jobs not creating work fair programs. We see that you are attempting to have congress pass another economic spending bill and we assuming that this one will likely contain no provisions concerning the production of new innovation or invention and financial rewards for the inventors of these creations. This of course, is the equivalent of putting the cart before the horse. This will promote invention stagnation which leads to the non-creation of hundreds of thousands of jobs due to non-conception. The projects that you intend to invest in are not the most efficient or

modernized version of what could exist if you had earmarked a portion of these funds to stimulate the minds of inventors and innovators to create the optimal improvements on what you intend to invest in. In some cases, this will only result in inferior systems while in other cases, systems that should have been abandoned altogether will be invested in and become obsolete as soon as they are completed with no further funds left to improve or replace them. Clearly the time is now, to include in the stimulus bill and investment in top inventions to ensure their creation and ensure that the funding investment is heading in the correct direction. This new program in connection with my patent reform legislation, that is available on my website, will ensure a return to fairness and integrity from the corrupt shambles that the UPTO currently represents. Please let me know if you would like me to construct more details on how this plan would work. This is essentially a plan of selective high viability invention startup financing. While many would argue that congress should have no business in the private sector financing, the reality is that we are already investing in private sector financing with bank and automobile bankruptcy rescue plans and R&D related expenditures regarding automobile fuel economy and home energy efficiency automation. The problem is that these are grossly wasteful because the top innovation potentials were not explored due to the lack of inventor financial stimulus that would have produced productive highly efficient improvements instead of the wasteful spending that actually occurred with your selective decisions. This new plan would be eventually no cost to the citizens since it's in the form of SBA loans that must be repaid. It simply moves the small business administration into the field of selective top intellectual property development loans without the requirement of two years of established profit records again for select panel determined viable intellectual properties. Professional viability analyst should be used in this endeavor.

I am also critical of your release of federal gold reserves to foreign and American investors because this allows a concealment of money by criminals instead of having it in bank accounts where it can be traced. Clearly the IRS should be looking for these individuals to collect money from them for the money I should have been paid and paid taxes on for the conception of the intellectual materials and a reasonable percentage of profits involved in the accumulation of their wealth.

Sincerely,
Michael R. Thomas
February 8, 2010